Partnership Theory of Change

Problem: Violence in the Humber area is disproportionately high. This can be reduced through a public health approach.

Inputs	Activities / processes	Short-term outcomes	Intermediate outcomes	Long-term outcomes
Funding: Home Office funding; Match funding; Local partner funding	***Data-driven decision making***			
	- Collect, analyse and share violence related data. - Conduct analysis / research on (local) risk and protective factors. - Assess current system	- Shared definition and understanding of serious violence and its drivers. - At-risk cohorts identified. - System gaps and opportunities identified	Resources allocated based on local needs and context	Efficient and adaptive response
Humber VPP Board	***Whole-system approach***			
Humber VPP Team	Engage with a diverse set of partners (agencies and communities) to share data and develop a shared strategy	Multi-agency violence prevention strategy	Shared and equal ownership amongst partners of the response	Effective and self-sustaining regional system approach to preventing violence
Existing data (access arrangements) and research	Support and equip partners with the resources and capacity (skills, knowledge, capabilities) to deliver the approach	Shared regional capacity and resources	Region is better equipped to deliver an effective response	
Partner agencies and existing multi-agency working	Lead, coordinate and monitor the implementation of the whole-system approach	VPP recognised as leading/coordinating and supporting systems change	Effective strategies and processes embedded / upscaled based on reviews	
Voluntary and community sector	***Intervention commissioning and alignment***			**Impact**
Local communities and young people	Develop, commission and test evidence-based (or promising) interventions aligned to local needs	Interventions implemented, effectively engaging at-risk cohorts, and continually monitored and revised.	- Risk factors effectively reduced and protective factors increased - Evidence base developed - Effective interventions upscaled	Violence prevented / reduced
Relevant policies (e.g. Serious Violence Duty)	Support and influence the delivery of other interventions			Wider harm reduced / outcomes improved
Existing interventions	***Individual risk identification, management and support***			
Existing evidence (e.g. YEF Toolkit)	Identification of individual risk and consideration through multi-agency mechanism	- Individual engaged and offered support - Ongoing monitoring and review	- Risk factors effectively supported - Reduced risk to the public	Reduced demand on services / lower financial cost
Other intervention funding and policy priorities				
Business funding and partnerships *(future)*				

Assumptions: Data sources are accessible and reliable. Partners are willing and have capacity to meaningfully engage. Partnerships have required vision, people and structures. Consistency in resources.

External factors: Socio-economic factors affecting violence trends/drivers. Evolving and potentially increasing risk factors. Political and legislative changes.